Vera Real: Stroke Assessment Using a Physical-Virtual Patient (PVP)

Laura Gonzalez, PhD, APRN, CNE, CHSE-A Salam Daher, PhD Gregory Welch, PhD



2019 INACSL CONFERENCE

June 19-22, 2019 JW Marriott Desert Ridge Resort & Spa, Phoenix, AZ





ANCC

Continuing Nursing Education



International Nursing Association for Clinical Simulation & Learning is accredited as a provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation





DISCLOSURES

Conflict of Interest

- Laura Gonzalez (Presenter) VP of Programs for INACSL
- Salam Daher (presenter) reports no conflict of interest
- Greg Welch (presenter) reports no conflict of interest
- Mindi Anderson (INACSL Conference Administrator) reports no conflict of interest
- Erin Killingsworth (INACSL Lead Nurse Planner) reports no conflict of interest

Successful Completion

- Attend 100% of session
- Complete session evaluation in app





LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this educational activity, participants will be able to:

- 1. Recognize current simulation technology.
- 2. Appreciate the value of realism in knowledge acquisition.
- 3. Apply findings to future work.





Overview

- Simulation has revolutionized teaching and learning.
- Traditional mannequins are **limited** in their ability to exhibit emotions, movements, and interactive eye gaze.
- Students often struggle with immersion and may be unable to authentically relate to the "patient."
- Physical-Virtual Patients (PVP) combine the physicality of mannequins with the richness of dynamic computergenerated visuals.





Concepts Under Consideration

Urgency
 Engagement
 Learning



Technology Overview





Virtual objects coexist in the same space as the real word



[4] http://www.i-human.com/

[1] U.S. Navy Photo [2] https://caehealthcare.com/ultrasound-simulation/vimedix/ [3]

[3] Photo courtesy of Simbionix



[5] Sherstyuk et. al., 2011. [6] Rivera-Gutierrez et. al., 2015.
[7] *Images courtesy of Christoph Bichlmeier, Technical University if Munich*. [8] *Image © 2009 Aaron Kotranza*



Technology used for "VERA Real"



Physical

Our Physical-Virtual Patient "VERA Real"

Response to Touch Facial Expressions Pupil Dilation Eye following Speech



Physical Shell Projector Speaker Software

Virtual

[5] Sherstyuk et. al., 2011. [6] Rivera-Gutierrez et. al., 2015.
[7] *Images courtesy of Christoph Bichlmeier, Technical University if Munich*. [8] *Image © 2009 Aaron Kotranza*



Video: Capabilities of the Technology





Video



Technology used for "VERA Real"

Uh







Graphical User Interface to Control the Patient

11

Video: "VERA Real" in a Stroke Scenario



Video



Research Methodology



Nursing students (N = 59) in adult health class.

- 2-3 participants per simulation
- Between-subject design

26 interact 10 observe

18 interact 5 observe



Research Methodology





* When performing a neurological assessment what are all the potential findings you can remember.

@INACSL #INACSL2019

Findings: Urgency





* Indicates significant results with p < 0.05



Findings: Engagement





Mannequin



PVP Head



Questionnaire from Huwediek et. al, 2015

* Indicates significant results with p < 0.05





* Indicates significant results with p < 0.05

Data From Written Pre-Test and Post-Test Question:

"When performing a neurological assessment what are all the potential findings you can remember"



Findings Summary



Participants were **more engaged**, experienced **higher sense of urgency**, and **learned more** in the Physical-Virtual Patient condition compared to the mannequin



Application to Current Practice



Realism does enhance **engagement** and **urgency** resulting in transfer of learning.

Realism may be particularly important with regards to highimpact visual simulations such as bruises, petechiae, jaundice.





Limitations and future work

This study used the PVP head and as such the assessment findings were limited.

We recognize a more thorough neurological assessment would have been performed in the clinical setting.

Future work includes extending the PVP to a full body simulator.





References

Choi, W., Dyens, O., Chan, T., Schijven, A., Lajoie, S., ...Aggarwal, R. (2017). Engagement and learning in simulation: Recommendations of the Simnovate Engaged Learning Domain group. BMJ:Simulation and Technology Enhanced Learning, 3 (Suppl 1), S23-S32. doi:10.1136/bmjstel-2016-000177

Garside, M. J.; Rudd, M. P. & Price, C. I. Stroke and TIA assessment training: a new simulation-based approach to teaching acute stroke assessment. Simulation In Healthcare: Journal Of The Society For Simulation In Healthcare 2012; 7: 117 - 122

Welch, G., Hochreiter, J. Daher, S., Nagendran, A., & Gonzalez, L. (2015) Touch sensing on non-parametric rear projection surfaces: A physical-virtual head for hands on healthcare training. Proceedings of IEEE Virtual Reality. 69-74. March 23-27 Arles, France.

Daher, S., Hochreiter, J., Norouzi, N., Gonzalez, L., Bruder, G., & Welch, G. (2018, November). Physical-Virtual Agents for Healthcare Simulation. In Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents (pp. 99-106). ACM.





Contacts

Laura Gonzalez: <u>laura.gonzalez@ucf.edu</u>

Salam Daher: salam@kinghts.ucf.edu

Gregory Welch: Greg.welch@ucf.edu



THANK YOU

Please complete the survey for this session in the app.



2019 INACSL CONFERENCE

June 19-22, 2019 JW Marriott Desert Ridge Resort & Spa, Phoenix, AZ

